

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, HOUSTON DIVISION**

RUSSELL, et al.	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 4:19-cv-00226
	)	(Class Action)
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS, et al.	)	The Honorable Lee H. Rosenthal
	)	U.S. District Judge
Defendants.	)	
	)	

**PLAINTIFFS' RULE 41(a)(2) MOTION FOR DISMISSAL OF THE  
CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AS DEFENDANTS**

Plaintiffs have agreed to voluntarily dismiss with prejudice the 23 Felony Judges as Defendants to this lawsuit in exchange for the Judges who appealed dismissing their appeal, which is currently pending in the Fifth Circuit. *See* Dkt. 327 (Felony Judges' Notice of Appeal). Rule 41 is the appropriate procedural vehicle for seeking dismissal of the Judges. Rule 41 sets the parameters for "Dismissal of Actions." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. In the Fifth Circuit, the word "action" has been interpreted to "cover individual defendants—thus allowing plaintiffs . . . to use Rule 41(a) to dismiss individual defendants." *See Williams v. Seidenbach*, 958 F.3d 341, 345 (5th Cir. 2020) (*en banc*). Subsection (a)(2) permits a plaintiff to seek dismissal of a defendant "[b]y [c]ourt [o]rder." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2). Accordingly, Plaintiffs file this Motion pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2), seeking a court order dismissing the Felony Judges, but ask that, for the time being, the Court not enter the Order until the Felony Judges have dismissed their appeal.

The County, Sheriff, and Judges Cornelio, Gray, Lacayo, Silverman, and Warren are unopposed to the motion. Undersigned counsel was unable to reach Judge Bell prior to filing. When asked for their position, the 17 Judges who are represented by the Attorney General's Office

stated as follows: “The felony judges are not opposed to an ultimate dismissal in this case. However, please do not make any representation that the felony judges have agreed to take any specific action upon the filing of the Rule 41. As we’ve discussed briefly, there are still a few outstanding questions as to the proper procedural vehicles before dismissal is granted including possibly seeking a vacatur of Judge Rosenthal’s opinion.”

Date: January 20, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Alec Karakatsanis

/s/ Elizabeth Rossi

Alec George Karakatsanis (*Pro Hac Vice*)

alec@civilrightscorps.org

Elizabeth Rossi (*Pro Hac Vice*)

elizabeth@civilrightscorps.org

Jeffrey Stein (*Pro Hac Vice*)

jeff@civilrightscorps.org

Civil Rights Corps

1601 Connecticut Ave NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20009

Telephone: (202) 681-2721

/s/ Liyah Brown

Mimi Marziani (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Texas State Bar No. 24091906

mimi@texascivilrightsproject.org

Liyah Brown (*Pro Hac Vice*)

D.C. Bar No. 500149

liyah@texascivilrightsproject.org

Peter Steffensen

Texas State Bar No. 24106464

Southern District No. 3327006

peter@texascivilrightsproject.org

Texas Civil Rights Project

2202 Alabama Street

Houston, TX 77004

Telephone: 512-474-5073 ext. 118

/s/ Neal S. Manne

Neal S. Manne

Texas Bar No. 12937980

nmanne@susmangodfrey.com

Lexie G. White

Texas Bar No. 24048876

lwhite@susmangodfrey.com

Joseph S. Grinstein

Texas Bar No. 24002188

jgrinstein@susmangodfrey.com

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100

Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 651-9366

Facsimile: (713) 654-6666

/s/ Michael Gervais

Michael Gervais (*Pro Hac Vice*)

mgervais@susmangodfrey.com

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

1900 Avenue of the Stars, #1400

Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 789-3100

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on January 20, 2021 a true and correct copy of this document properly was served on counsel of record via electronic filing in accordance with the USDC, Southern District of Texas Procedures for Electronic Filing.

/s/ *Elizabeth Rossi*

Elizabeth Rossi